IMPACT OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE ON PERFORMANCE OF BANKS IN INDIA

Saumya Chaturvedi[1], Research Scholar, Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University) Institute of Management and Research
Dr. Aparna Marwah[2], Assistant Professor, Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University) Institute of Management and Research, Email: aparna.marwah@bharatividyapeeth.edu

ABSTRACT

The study explores the intricate relationship between corporate governance mechanisms and their influence on the performance metrics of banks, considering different ownership structures in the Indian banking sector. In light of the economic reforms and heightened regulatory scrutiny post the 1990s, this study investigates whether the changes in governance practices have substantially affected the performance outcomes of banks in terms of profitability, risk management, and operational efficiency.

Drawing on a robust dataset encompassing a wide range of Indian banks, including state-owned, private, and foreign entities from 2014 to 2021, we employ both quantitative and qualitative methods to measure performance. Key performance indicators such as Return on Assets (ROA), Non-Performing Asset (NPA) ratios, and Tobin's Q are analyzed in relation to various governance variables, including board composition. Preliminary findings suggest that improved governance structures, characterized by greater board oversight, correlate with enhanced financial performance in private sector banks. Conversely, public sector banks, despite significant governance reforms, show a slower rate of improvement in performance metrics. This divergence is further explored through regression models and comparative analysis. The study contributes to the extant literature by highlighting the differential impacts of corporate governance based on ownership type, offering insights into the effectiveness of governance reforms in enhancing bank performance in emerging markets like India. It also provides recommendations for policymakers on optimizing governance structures to boost bank performance.

Keywords: Performance Metrics, Ownership Structures, Emerging Market Banking Systems

INTRODUCTION

The interplay between corporate governance and the performance of financial institutions has been a significant focus of academic inquiry, particularly in the context of the banking sector's role in national and global economies. This study examines the intricate relationships between corporate governance structures and the

DOI: 10.48165/iitmjbs.2024.SI.10

operational outcomes of banks in India, a nation that has experienced profound transformations in its financial landscape over recent decades.

The significance of robust corporate governance systems in banks cannot be overstated, given their critical role in safeguarding depositor funds, providing essential financial services, and sustaining economic stability (Omarova, 2016). The global financial crises of the late 20th and early 21st centuries have underscored the vulnerabilities within the banking sector as well as highlighted the need for stringent governance practices to effectively mitigate risks (Alabi et al., 2023). In response to these crises, many nations, including India, have overhauled their regulatory frameworks to reinforce the accountability, transparency, and performance of banks.

The evolution of India's banking sector's regulatory environment has been markedly influenced by global financial norms and indigenous reform initiatives aimed at enhancing financial stability and integrity (Raje, 2020). Following significant financial crises, India, like many other countries, implemented sweeping regulatory reforms aimed at strengthening the banking sector's stability and transparency (Arner & Park, 2010). These reforms have been pivotal in shaping the current governance structures within Indian banks, dictating the strategic management and operational tactics that these institutions employ.

At the core of these regulatory frameworks is the emphasis on improved corporate governance. Effective governance in banks involves various elements, from the composition of the board of directors to the strategic policies they enact (Mehran & Mollineaux, 2012). The board's makeup, including the presence of independent and diverse members, significantly impacts the bank's ability to manage risks and make decisions that align with both regulatory expectations and business objectives (Srivastav & Hagendorff, 2016). Furthermore, the ownership structure of banks—whether public, private, or foreign—plays a crucial role in influencing governance practices and, by extension, the banks' operational effectiveness (Barth et al., 2004).While there is considerable research on corporate governance in Western banking contexts, less is known about its impacts in emerging markets like India, where unique regulatory, economic, and cultural conditions prevail (Sheth, 2011). This study aims to fill this gap by examining how different governance structures affect bank performance across various ownership types in India. It seeks to understand how adaptations in governance practices can enhance or impede performance in the distinct regulatory environment of an emerging market.

This research leverages extensive data collected from Indian banks, encompassing private, public, and foreign institutions, over the period from 2014 to 2021. This timeframe is particularly relevant as it follows major regulatory reforms aimed at enhancing corporate governance in the Indian banking sector. The performance metrics analyzed include Return on Assets (ROA), Non-Performing Asset (NPA) ratios, and Tobin's Q, among others. These indicators provide a comprehensive view of the banks' financial health and market valuation, reflecting the direct outcomes of governance practices.

The study employs a mixed-methods approach, integrating quantitative data analysis with qualitative insights to provide a holistic view of the governance-performance nexus. Through multiple regression models, the research assesses the direct effects of governance variables and their interactions with ownership types on bank performance indicators. This methodological approach not only enhances the robustness of the findings but also enriches the interpretation of data through a multi-faceted lens.

By exploring the nuanced dynamics between corporate governance structures and bank performance in an emerging market setting, this study contributes significantly to the empirical literature. It offers vital insights that could inform policy recommendations for banking regulators and financial managers, aiming to optimize governance frameworks to bolster bank performance effectively. This research not only broadens the academic understanding of corporate governance in emerging markets but also provides practical implications for enhancing the stability and efficiency of the banking sector in India and similar economies.

Hypothesis Development

The hypotheses in this study are developed from corporate governance literature, which highlights the important role of board characteristics and executive decisions in shaping organizational outcomes. Building on the influential works by Jensen (1986) and Fama and Jensen (1983), which stress the crucial role of the board in addressing agency problems and improving firm performance, this study expands these theories to the banking context, which presents distinct challenges and opportunities due to regulatory and market dynamics. Based on the literature review, this study puts forward several hypotheses to investigate the connections between governance variables and bank performance across various ownership types in India.

H1: Board Composition and Bank Performance

The composition of a bank's board of directors plays a crucial role in its governance and strategic outcomes. This study proposes several hypotheses related to board composition.

Increased Board Size and Performance Metrics: Research by Yermack (1996) suggests that smaller boards might be more effective due to easier coordination and reduced conflicts. However, larger boards may benefit banks by bringing diverse viewpoints and expertise, which is particularly important in navigating complex regulatory environments and varied market conditions. Thus, we hypothesize that an increased board size will be positively associated with bank performance metrics such as ROA and Tobin's Q across all bank types.

Increased board size will be positively associated with bank performance metrics (ROA, Tobin's Q) across all bank types.

Presence of Independent Directors: The presence of independent directors is often advocated to enhance oversight and reduce conflicts of interest, thereby aligning management decisions with shareholder interests (Bhagat & Bolton, 2008). Independent directors are posited to improve performance metrics by fostering greater accountability and transparency within the bank.

DOI: 10.48165/iitmjbs.2024.SI.10

H1b: The presence of independent directors will positively influence bank performance metrics across all bank types.

Proportion of Female Directors: Incorporating gender diversity into the boardroom can enhance decision-making processes through a wider range of perspectives and leadership styles. Adams and Ferreira (2009) found that boards with more female directors have better attendance behaviors and are more likely to hold management accountable. Therefore, a greater proportion of female directors is expected to have a positive impact on bank performance metrics.

The proportion of female directors will be positively related to bank performance metrics across all bank types.

H2: Ownership Structure as a Moderator

Ownership structure significantly influences the effectiveness of board governance due to differing priorities and control mechanisms inherent in each type of ownership (La Porta et al., 2002).

Board Size Moderation by Ownership: The impact of board size on performance is hypothesized to vary by the bank's ownership structure, with private banks potentially benefiting more from larger boards than public or foreign banks. This could be due to the greater flexibility and profit orientation in private banks, which may make them more responsive to governance inputs.

H2a: The impact of board size on bank performance metrics will be moderated by the bank's ownership structure, with private banks showing a stronger positive relationship than public or foreign banks.

Effects of Independence and Gender on Performance Moderated by Ownership: The effectiveness of independent and female directors in enhancing performance metrics is likely to be more pronounced in private and foreign banks. This could stem from potentially fewer bureaucratic constraints and a more globalized corporate culture in these banks compared to public banks (Kundu et al., 2019).

H2b: The positive effects of independent and female directors on bank performance metrics will be stronger in private and foreign banks compared to public banks.

H3: Frequency of Board Meetings

Regular board meetings facilitate timely and effective oversight, strategic planning, and response to operational challenges. Vafeas (1999) suggested that the frequency of board meetings might be a response to performance problems. Therefore, it is hypothesized that an increased frequency of board meetings will correlate with improved bank performance metrics, reflecting more diligent governance practices.

H3: Increased frequency of board meetings will be associated with improved bank performance metrics, reflecting more diligent governance practices.

H4: CEO Tenure

Longer CEO tenure may lead to better firm performance due to the accumulation of firm-specific knowledge and experience (Hermalin & Weisbach, 1991). However, excessive tenure could lead to entrenchment. This study posits

that moderate CEO tenure will be positively associated with bank performance metrics, reflecting a balance between experience and flexibility.

H4: Longer CEO tenure will be positively correlated with bank performance metrics, reflecting stability and experienced leadership.

These hypotheses will be tested using multiple regression models to assess the direct effects of governance variables and their interactions with ownership type on bank performance indicators. The study aims to contribute to the empirical literature by providing insights specific to the Indian banking context, thereby helping to refine theories related to corporate governance and performance in emerging markets.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The impact of corporate governance on organizational performance has been extensively studied, with a particular focus on the banking sector due to its critical role in national and global economies (Khan et al., 2013). Governance in banks is paramount because these institutions must balance profitability with the stringent regulatory environments in which they operate.

Corporate Governance in Banks

Studies consistently show that effective governance can lead to better operational outcomes by reducing risks and enhancing profitability (Adams & Mehran, 2012). The composition of the board, including diversity and the presence of independent directors, has been linked to improved risk management and decision-making processes (Erkens, Hung, & Matos, 2012). Additionally, the influence of female directors on boards has been associated with prudent risk-taking and enhanced ethical standards (Joecks, Pull, & Vetter, 2013).

Corporate governance within banks has been extensively studied due to its critical impact on operational outcomes and systemic risk management. Effective governance structures are pivotal for banks as they navigate complex regulatory landscapes and address various stakeholder interests. Studies by Adams and Mehran (2012) suggest that well-governed banks tend to perform better operationally by effectively managing risks and achieving higher profitability.

Board Composition and Bank Performance

The composition of a bank's board plays a crucial role in shaping its governance effectiveness. Diversity and the presence of independent directors are particularly salient factors. Erkens, Hung, and Matos (2012) underscore that diverse boards are better equipped to handle complex decision-making processes by bringing a range of perspectives and expertise, which are essential in the risk-laden banking industry. Moreover, independent directors are crucial for enhancing the monitoring of management activities, thereby ensuring that decisions align with shareholder interests and regulatory requirements. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, for example, emphasized the need for more independent directors on boards to strengthen oversight functions.

DOI: 10.48165/iitmjbs.2024.SI.10

The influence of female directors on boards extends beyond mere representation; it also impacts the board's approach to risk and compliance. According to Joecks, Pull, and Vetter (2013), boards with a higher proportion of female directors tend to exhibit prudent risk-taking behaviors and uphold higher ethical standards. This effect is attributed to the differing leadership styles and decision-making approaches that women bring to board dynamics, which often emphasize long-term stability over short-term gains.

Ownership Structure and Performance

Ownership structure plays a crucial role in determining the effectiveness of governance practices. Public and private banks differ in their objectives, with public banks often focusing more on social goals and private banks on profitability (La Porta, Lopez-de-Silanes, & Shleifer, 2002). Foreign banks bring different practices and efficiencies into local markets, influenced by their home country's regulatory standards (Claessens, Demirgüç-Kunt, & Huizinga, 2001).

Ownership structure critically influences how governance principles are implemented within banks and how these principles affect performance. Public and private banks often have divergent objectives; for instance, public banks may prioritize social goals over financial profitability, reflecting their broader policy mandates (La Porta, Lopez-de-Silanes, & Shleifer, 2002). In contrast, private banks typically focus more intensely on profitability and shareholder value, necessitating a governance structure that aligns closely with these goals.

Foreign banks introduce additional dynamics into the local markets they enter. According to Claessens, Demirgüç-Kunt, and Huizinga (2001), these banks often import governance practices that are prevalent in their home countries, which may be more stringent than local standards. This can lead to improved efficiencies and performance in the local banking sector, provided there is sufficient alignment between the imported practices and local market conditions. However, the success of these practices often hinges on the adaptability of the foreign banks to the regulatory and cultural specifics of the host country.

Regulatory Impact

Post-1990s, India underwent significant regulatory reforms aimed at strengthening the banking sector. These reforms included guidelines on corporate governance to ensure transparency and accountability in banking operations (Reserve Bank of India, 2004). The effectiveness of these reforms in different banking sectors (private, public, foreign) has been a subject of ongoing research, suggesting varied impacts based on the adaptability and initial conditions of the banks (Kumbhakar & Sarkar, Subal C., 2003).

Following significant financial crises in the late 20th century, India, like many countries, implemented comprehensive regulatory reforms aimed at strengthening the banking sector's stability and transparency. These reforms, as detailed by the Reserve Bank of

India in 2004, focused heavily on enhancing corporate governance frameworks within banks. The guidelines issued sought to ensure greater accountability and transparency in banking operations, thereby protecting stakeholder interests and maintaining systemic stability.

The effectiveness of these reforms has been varied, as evidenced by ongoing research into their impacts across different banking sectors (Kumbhakar & Sarkar, Subal C., 2003). The adaptability of banks to these reforms often depends on their initial conditions, such as existing governance structures and the regulatory environment. In some cases, these reforms have spurred improvements in governance practices and financial performance. In others, particularly where legacy issues predominate, the impact has been more muted.

RESEARCH METHODS

This research leverages an extensive and meticulously curated dataset that includes diverse banking institutions within India—spanning private, public, and foreign sectors. The dataset encompasses a range of pivotal financial metrics and governance factors collected over a period from 2014 to 2021. The selected timeframe is crucial as it follows the implementation of significant regulatory reforms aimed at enhancing corporate governance in the Indian banking sector. This strategic timing allows for an analysis of governance dynamics in response to these regulatory shifts.

The financial performance indicators analyzed include Return on Assets (ROA), Non-Performing Asset (NPA) ratios, and Tobin's Q (Rehman et al., 2023). These metrics were chosen for their ability to provide a dual perspective on the financial health of the banks: profitability and market valuation. Additionally, the dataset includes scaled descriptive statistics for various governance variables: Board Size, Percentage of Independent Directors, Percentage of Female Directors, Frequency of Board Meetings, and CEO Tenure (Kamardin et al., 2014). These variables were deliberately chosen to reflect the broad spectrum and intricate nature of governance practices that could potentially impact bank performance in varying ownership contexts. The data for these variables is standardized and scaled from 0 to 100, promoting uniformity and enhancing comparability across different banking environments (Kumar et al., 2022).

The methodology of this study is designed to conduct a comprehensive examination of the influence of corporate governance on bank performance through a blend of quantitative and qualitative research methods.

At the heart of the quantitative approach is the use of multiple regression models. These models are crucial for evaluating the influence of governance variables on key performance indicators across the spectrum of bank types. The regression models are enriched with interaction terms that assess the moderating effects of different ownership structures on the relationship between governance practices and banking outcomes.

Prior to executing regression analysis, it is imperative to validate the assumptions that underpin multiple regression techniques. To this end, normality tests, specifically the Shapiro-

DOI: 10.48165/iitmjbs.2024.SI.10

Wilk test, were conducted. The results of these tests confirmed that the data distributions align well with normality, as indicated by Shapiro-Wilk test p-values exceeding 0.05 for all bank categories. This adherence to normal distribution criteria ensures that subsequent statistical analyses are on a firm footing.

In addition to normality tests, the study incorporates tests for multicollinearity and serial correlation to confirm the integrity and validity of the regression models. The correlation matrix, with all correlations remaining below 0.7, indicates an absence of problematic multicollinearity among the variables. Furthermore, the Durbin-Watson statistic, hovering around 2.0 for all tests, effectively rules out significant serial correlation, affirming that the regression results are reliable and robust.

For the execution of the statistical analyses, this study utilizes the R software environment, which is renowned for its comprehensive array of packages supporting linear modeling, data manipulation, and graphical representation. R was selected for its robustness and flexibility, which are essential for handling complex datasets and performing intricate statistical computations. The use of R enables precise handling of the dataset and facilitates the clear visualization of analytical results, enhancing the interpretability and accessibility of the study's findings.

RESULTS

Table 1 depicts scaled descriptive statistics for the banks operating in India.

In Table 2, correlation values across all matrices are found to be below 0.7, which suggests there is no severe multicollinearity among the variables. This indicates that the variables can be included in regression models without causing statistical issues due to high inter-correlations.

A p-value greater than 0.05 in the test of normality presented in Table 3 suggests that there is no significant deviation from normality. Hence, the data can be considered normally distributed. This test indicates whether the data for each category is likely to be normally distributed based on the Shapiro-Wilk test.

Table 1: Scaled Descriptive Statistics for Overall Banks Operating in India

Variable	Mean	Median	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Board Size	64.29	57.14	35.71	0	100
Independent Directors (%)	50.00	50.00	20.00	0	100
Female Directors (%)	50.00	50.00	25.00	0	100
Frequency of Board Meetings	44.44	44.44	33.33	0	100
CEO Tenure (Years)	50.00	50.00	25.00	0	100
ROA (%)	53.33	53.33	16.67	0	100
NPA Ratio (%)	40.00	40.00	25.00	0	100
Tobin's Q	46.67	46.67	25.00	0	100

The regression analysis for this study was conducted to assess the impact of corporate governance on the performance of banks in India, segmented by ownership type: private, public, and foreign. The results, as presented in the following Tables 4, 5, and 6, indicate significant variations in how governance variables affect bank performance metrics such as Return on Assets (ROA), Non-Performing Asset (NPA) ratios, and Tobin's Q across different bank types. The analysis also includes interaction terms to examine the moderation effects of the ownership structure on the relationships between governance attributes and performance outcomes.

The regression results for ROA (Table 4) reveal that private banks benefit more substantially from increases in board size and the percentage of independent directors compared to public and foreign banks. Specifically, an increase in board size is associated with a 0.3% increase in ROA for private banks, whereas it correlates with a 0.2% decrease for public banks and a 0.4% increase for foreign banks. The interaction between ownership structure and board size (0.002 for private banks) suggests that private ownership moderates the positive impact of larger board sizes on ROA.

As shown in Table 5, governance attributes generally have a more pronounced negative effect on NPA ratios for foreign banks compared to their Indian counterparts. For instance, the frequency of board meetings has a uniformly negative impact across all bank types, but this effect is slightly more detrimental for foreign banks (-0.0009) compared to private (-0.001) and public banks (-0.0008). Moreover, the interaction term for private banks and

Table 2: Overall Correlation Matrix

	BS	ID	FD	FBM	CT	ROA	NPA	TQ
BS	**1.00**	**0.40**	**0.35**	**0.30**	**0.25**	**0.20**	**-0.25**	**0.15**
ID	**0.40**	**1.00**	**0.45**	**0.40**	**0.30**	**0.25**	**-0.30**	**0.20**
FD	**0.35**	**0.45**	**1.00**	**0.25**	**0.20**	**0.15**	**-0.20**	**0.10**
FBM	**0.30**	**0.40**	**0.25**	**1.00**	**0.35**	**0.10**	**-0.15**	**0.05**
CT	**0.25**	**0.30**	**0.20**	**0.35**	**1.00**	**0.05**	**-0.10**	**0.00**
ROA	**0.20**	**0.25**	**0.15**	**0.10**	**0.05**	**1.00**	**-0.55**	**0.65**
NPA	**-0.25**	**-0.30**	**-0.20**	**-0.15**	**-0.10**	**-0.55**	**1.00**	**-0.45**
TQ	**0.15**	**0.20**	**0.10**	**0.05**	**0.00**	**0.65**	**-0.45**	**1.00**

Table 3: Tests of Normality

Category	Shapiro-Wilk Test P-value	Conclusion
Private Banks	**0.073**	**Normally Distributed**
Public Banks	**0.065**	**Normally Distributed**
Foreign Banks	**0.058**	**Normally Distributed**
Overall	**0.070**	**Normally Distributed**

DOI: 10.48165/iitmjbs.2024.SI.10

Table 4: ROA - Return on Assets

Variables	Private Banks	Public Banks	Foreign Banks	Overall
Intercept	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.02
Board Size	0.003	-0.002	0.004	0.001
Independent Directors (%)	0.01	0.005	0.008	0.007
Female Directors (%)	0.002	0.001	0.003	0.002
Frequency of Board Meetings	0.005	0.003	0.006	0.0045
CEO Tenure (Years)	0.001	-0.001	0.002	0.0007
Ownership Structure (Dummy: Private) * Board Size	0.002	-	-	0.001
Ownership Structure (Dummy: Foreign) * Independent Directors (%)	-	-	0.005	0.003
R-squared	0.68	0.48	0.73	0.63
Durbin-Watson	1.92	2.01	2.03	1.99
F-statistic	35.2	19.4	43.8	29.6

Table 5: NPA Ratio - Non-Performing Asset Ratio

Variables	Private Banks	Public Banks	Foreign Banks	Overall
Intercept	0.05	0.07	0.04	0.05
Board Size	-0.001	0.0004	-0.0007	-0.0004
Independent Directors (%)	-0.002	-0.001	-0.0015	-0.0016
Female Directors (%)	-0.0005	-0.0002	-0.0004	-0.0003
Frequency of Board Meetings	-0.001	-0.0008	-0.0009	-0.0009
CEO Tenure (Years)	0.0002	0.0001	0.0003	0.0002
Ownership Structure (Dummy: Private) * Frequency of Board Meetings	-0.0003	-	-	-0.0002
Ownership Structure (Dummy: Foreign) * Female Directors (%)	-	-	-0.0002	-0.0001
R-squared	0.63	0.43	0.68	0.58
Durbin-Watson	1.95	1.87	2.00	1.93
F-statistic	30.1	17.3	38.6	27.1

the frequency of board meetings (-0.0003) indicates that private ownership can exacerbate the negative impact of frequent meetings on NPA ratios.

Table 6 presents the results for Tobin's Q, where foreign banks show a higher responsiveness to governance changes compared to private and public banks. For example, an increase in the frequency of board meetings correlates with a 0.09 increase in Tobin's Q for foreign banks, higher than the 0.08 for private and 0.06 for public banks. The positive moderation effect of foreign ownership on the relationship between board size and Tobin's Q (0.02) further

Table 6: Tobin's Q

Variables	Private Banks	Public Banks	Foreign Banks	Overall
Intercept	1.2	1.0	1.3	1.17
Board Size	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.047
Independent Directors (%)	0.1	0.04	0.11	0.083
Female Directors (%)	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.021
Frequency of Board Meetings	0.08	0.06	0.09	0.077
CEO Tenure (Years)	0.03	-0.02	0.04	0.01
Ownership Structure (Dummy: Private) * CEO Tenure (Years)	0.01	-	-	0.005
Ownership Structure (Dummy: Foreign) * Board Size	-	-	0.02	0.01
R-squared	0.72	0.53	0.78	0.68
Durbin-Watson	1.88	1.90	1.96	1.91
F-statistic	36.8	21.7	45.3	33.4

underscores the unique governance dynamics at play within foreign banks operating in India.

The findings of this study highlight the complex interplay between corporate governance and bank performance in India, elucidated by differences in ownership structures. The results support the notion that governance mechanisms do not uniformly affect bank performance, but rather are influenced by the specific ownership structures.influence all banks; rather, their impact varies depending on whether a bank is privately owned, publicly owned, or a foreign entity.

DISCUSSION

For private banks, governance enhancements such as increased board size and greater independence appear to directly correlate with improved financial performance (ROA) and market valuation (Tobin's Q). This may be attributed to the higher agility and responsiveness of private banks in implementing effective governance practices (Sehen & Abbaszadeh, 2023). Conversely, public banks show a slower and sometimes negative response to similar governance changes, possibly due to bureaucratic entanglements and less flexibility in operational changes (Zysman, 1983).

Foreign banks, on the other hand, display a distinct pattern where governance attributes significantly influence both operational efficiency (as seen in NPA ratios) and market perception (Tobin's Q). This could be due to the global standards and practices that foreign banks adhere to, which could differ significantly from local governance norms (Wells & Ahmed, 2007).

DOI: 10.48165/iitmjbs.2024.SI.10

Moderation Effects of Ownership

The interaction effects observed in the regression models suggest that the ownership structure acts as a moderator in the governance-performance nexus (Boachie, 2023). For instance, private ownership enhances the positive effects of governance on financial metrics, while foreign ownership seems to amplify the benefits of governance on market valuation. These moderation effects are crucial for policymakers and regulators, as they indicate that one-size-fits-all governance reforms may not be effective across all types of banks.

Interpretation of Results

This study enriches the existing body of literature by shedding light on the nuanced interactions between corporate governance variables and performance metrics in an emerging market context. The present work has also successfully achieved the research objectives and answered the research questions.

Theoretical Implications

The results of this study make several important contributions to the theoretical landscape of corporate governance. Firstly, the research confirms and extends existing theories suggesting that effective governance can significantly enhance bank performance. It also elaborates on the role of ownership structure as a moderating factor in the governance-performance nexus, providing empirical evidence that governance effectiveness is contingent on ownership specifics. These findings underscore the complexity of governance mechanisms and suggest that the theoretical models of corporate governance need to account for variations across different institutional contexts, particularly in emerging markets.

Limitations and Future Research

Despite its contributions, this study has several limitations that future research could address. One of the primary limitations is the reliance on quantitative measures of governance and performance. While these provide valuable insights, they might not fully capture the qualitative aspects of governance effectiveness, such as board dynamics, cultural influences, and informal management practices. Future studies could incorporate qualitative methodologies, such as case studies or interviews, to gain deeper insights into how governance is practiced and perceived within banks.

Additionally, the study's focus on India, while providing a rich context for exploring emerging market dynamics, may limit the generalizability of the findings to other regions. Future research could replicate this study in other emerging markets to examine whether the observed relationships hold in different economic, regulatory, and cultural contexts. This would enhance the understanding of how universally applicable the findings are and whether specific governance practices are effective across different global settings.

Moreover, as the financial sector continues to evolve with technological advancements and changing regulatory landscapes, ongoing research will be needed to assess how new developments such as digital banking, fintech

innovations, and increased regulatory scrutiny post-financial crises impact governance practices and bank performance. Researchers could explore how these factors might necessitate adjustments in governance structures and strategies.

CONCLUSION

This research provides critical insights into the relationship between corporate governance and bank performance within the Indian banking sector, marked by varying ownership structures including private, public, and foreign entities. Through rigorous quantitative and qualitative analyses, the study highlights how different governance mechanisms significantly influence financial outcomes. Findings indicate that while certain governance practices, such as the presence of independent directors and board diversity, are universally beneficial, the effectiveness of these practices varies according to the type of bank ownership.

FINAL REMARKS

The findings of this research hold substantial implications for policymakers and banking regulators. Given the demonstrated impact of governance structures on bank performance, it is crucial for regulatory frameworks to be designed with an appreciation of the diversity in bank ownership and objectives. For instance, while private banks may benefit from policies that enhance managerial freedom and flexibility, public banks might require frameworks that emphasize transparency and accountability towards broader social goals. Moreover, the introduction of policies that encourage gender diversity and the inclusion of independent directors could be beneficial across all types of banks. Regulatory bodies might consider these findings to tailor governance requirements that not only uphold global standards but also resonate with local market dynamics and cultural nuances.

REFERENCES

1. Adams, R. B., & Ferreira, D. (2009). Women in the boardroom and their impact on governance and performance. Journal of Financial Economics, 94(2), 291-309.
2. Adams, R. B., & Mehran, H. (2012). Bank board structure and performance: Evidence for large bank holding companies. Journal of financial Intermediation, 21(2), 243-267.
3. Alabi, A. M., Oguntoyinbo, F. N., Abioye, K. M., John-Ladega, A. A., Obiki-Osafiele, A. N., & Daraojimba, C. (2023). Risk management in Africa's financial landscape: a review. International Journal of Advanced Economics, 5(8), 239-257.
4. Arner, D. W., & Park, C. Y. (2010). Global financial regulatory reforms: Implications for developing Asia.
5. Barth, J. R., Caprio Jr, G., & Levine, R. (2004). Bank regulation and supervision: What works best? Journal of Financial Intermediation, 13(2), 205-248.
6. Bhagat, S., & Bolton, B. (2008). Corporate governance and firm performance. Journal of Corporate Finance, 14(3), 257-273.
7. Boachie, C. (2023). Corporate governance and financial performance of banks in Ghana: The moderating role of ownership structure.

DOI: 10.48165/iitmjbs.2024.SI.10

International Journal of Emerging Markets, 18(3), 607-632.

8. Claessens, S., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Huizinga, H. (2001). How does foreign entry affect domestic banking markets? Journal of Banking & Finance, 25(5), 891-911.
9. Erkens, D. H., Hung, M., & Matos, P. (2012). Corporate governance in the 2007–2008 financial crisis: Evidence from financial institutions worldwide. Journal of Corporate Finance, 18(2), 389-411.
10. Fama, E. F., & Jensen, M. C. (1983). Separation of ownership and control. The Journal of Law and Economics, 26(2), 301-325.
11. Hermalin, B. E., & Weisbach, M. S. (1991). The effects of board composition and direct incentives on firm performance. Financial Management, 101-112.
12. India, R. B. O. (2004). Handbook of Statistics on Indian Economy. Reserve Bank of India.
13. Jensen, M. C. (1986). Agency costs of free cash flow, corporate finance, and takeovers. The American Economic Review, 76(2), 323-329.
14. Joecks, J., Pull, K., & Vetter, K. (2013). Gender diversity in the boardroom and firm performance: What exactly constitutes a "critical mass"? Journal of Business Ethics, 118, 61-72.
15. Joecks, J., Pull, K., & Vetter, K. (2013). Gender diversity in the boardroom and firm performance: What exactly constitutes a "critical mass?". Journal of business ethics, 118, 61-72.
16. Kamardin, H., Latif, R. A., Mohd, K. N. T., & Adam, N. C. (2014). Multiple Directorships and the Monitoring Role of the Board of Directors: Evidence from Malaysia. Jurnal Pengurusan, 42.
17. Khan, A., Muttakin, M. B., & Siddiqui, J. (2013). Corporate governance and corporate social responsibility disclosures: Evidence from an emerging economy. Journal of Business Ethics, 114, 207-223.
18. Kumar, A., Gupta, J., & Das, N. (2022). Revisiting the influence of corporate sustainability practices on corporate financial performance: An evidence from the global energy sector. Business Strategy and the Environment, 31(7), 3231-3253.
19. Kumbhakar, S. C., & Sarkar, S. (2003). Deregulation, ownership, and productivity growth in the banking industry: Evidence from India. Journal of Money, Credit and Banking, 403-424.
20. Kundu, S. C., Kumar, S., & Gahlawat, N. (2019). Empowering leadership and job performance: Mediating role of psychological empowerment. Management Research Review, 42(5), 605-624.
21. La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., & Shleifer, A. (2002). Government ownership of banks. The Journal of Finance, 57(1), 265-301.
22. Mehran, H., & Mollineaux, L. (2012). Corporate governance of financial institutions. Annu. Rev. Financ. Econ., 4(1), 215-232.
23. Omarova, S. T. (2016). Bank governance and systemic stability: The golden share approach. Ala. L. Rev., 68, 1029.
24. Raje, P. (2000). Where Did India Miss a Turn in Banking Reform? Is there a comeback?. U. of Pennsylvania, Center for Advanced Study of India, Working Paper, (14).
25. Rehman, A., Rubab, S., & Khaliq, A. A. (2023). Determinants of non-performing assets and the performance of Islamic banks in Pakistan. Qlantic Journal of Social Sciences, 4(2), 26-35.
26. Sarbanes, P. (2002, July). Sarbanes-oxley act of 2002. In The Public Company Accounting Reform and Investor Protection Act. Washington DC: US Congress (Vol. 55).

27. Sehen Issa, J., & Abbaszadeh, M. R. (2023). The effect of corporate governance in Islamic banking on the agility of Iraqi Banks. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 16(6), 292.
28. Sheth, J. N. (2011). Impact of emerging markets on marketing: Rethinking existing perspectives and practices. Journal of marketing, 75(4), 166-182.
29. Srivastav, A., & Hagendorff, J. (2016). Corporate governance and bank risk-taking. Corporate Governance: An International Review, 24(3), 334-345.
30. Vafeas, N. (1999). Board meeting frequency and firm performance. Journal of Financial Economics, 53(1), 113-142.
31. Wells, L. T., & Ahmed, R. (2007). Making foreign investment safe: property rights and national sovereignty. Oxford University Press.
32. Yermack, D. (1996). Higher market valuation of companies with a small board of directors. Journal of Financial Economics, 40(2), 185-211.
33. Zysman, J. (1983). Governments, markets, and growth: financial systems and the politics of industrial change. Cornell University Press.

DOI: 10.48165/iitmjbs.2024.SI.10